UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,822	09/16/2003	Javit A. Drake	08935-297001 / M-5031	3431
26161 FISH & RICHA	7590 08/01/200 ARDSON PC	8	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1022			HODGE, ROBERT W	
MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/664,822	DRAKE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ROBERT HODGE	1795	

	ROBERT HODGE	1795					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interthan SIX MONTHS from the mailing to). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ().	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	isideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an e:	xplanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Jonathan Crepeau/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1795					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants continue to reiterate the same arguments while at the same time copying the sections of the office action that they allege do not contain each and every element of the claims, when in fact every single element of the claims has been addressed and applicants arguments are still not persuasive for reasons made of record. Furthermore it appears that applicants are arguing semantics, wherein the reference chooses to use one word for the same structural feature that applicants name with another word. For example applicants' claims recite a "fuel cartridge" and the prior art reference discloses a "fuel ampoule". Both structures store fuel and are structurally equivalent when the claims are given the broadest most reasonable interpretation as has been shown to applicants throughout prosecution. Regarding the claim amendments, the amendments change the scope of claims 1 and 11 which requires further consideration.